




# VERITAS project

FP7 247765



## HOW DO HUMAN BEINGS MOVE? A LESSON FROM DRIVER MODELS



M. Da Lio, M. De Cecco,  
F. Biral, D. Bortoluzzi, F. Setti



UNIVERSITY  
OF TRENTO - Italy

# Introduction

- STATE OF THE ART AND IDEAS CONCERNING THE PLANNING OF HUMAN MOVEMENTS
  - Driver models as a guideline
  - Hierarchical models
    - Perception Action loops
    - Optimal control and receding horizon
    - Human tracking skills



2

# Introduction

- Humans move in smooth gracious fashion.
- Human movement is predictable and understandable to other humans.
- ... there must be basic rules that generate movement and people are naturally aware of them
- How do humans move and which rules generate movement?



2

# DRIVER MODELS

- The domain of driver models can teach us some lessons
  - Driver models are models of how humans move in a restricted domain (driving). Yet this can tell us many things.
  - Plöchl and Edelman review lists 215 papers.
  - MacAdam review lists another 159 papers
  - there are many others.....



2

# Hierarchical Models

- Several concurrent control, planning, sensing and cognition tasks that occur simultaneously.
- These can be organized in a hierarchy:
  - Slow cognitive tasks occur atop
  - Fast compensatory tracking loops occur at bottom



2

# Michon Model


- Three layers:

- Strategic level (goals as to where to go are set consciously) - tenths of seconds
- Tactical level (how to move to achieve a goal) - seconds
- Control level (micromanagement) - fraction of seconds



# The Extended Control Model

- The Extended Control Model by Hollnagel.



# The Extended Control Model

- In the ECOM the four layers implements a **subsumptive** architecture.
  - **Subsumptive**, means that each level can turn on and off a plethora of different sub-loops.
  - For example a speed-keeping loop (regulating level) can be turned off and replaced by a distance keeping loop when a a leading vehicle is met.



2



# The DIPLECS project


- The FP7 DIPLECS project successfully implemented a model of driver according to the ECOM architecture.
- ECOM states/loops are modeled as *Perception-Action* (reactive) loops that can be learnt by an artificial cognitive system



2

# Optimized trajectories

- The two mid layers concerns mapping of goals into movement plans (trajectories) and into primitive motor task (actions).



# Optimized trajectories

- Optimal control has been successfully used to explain the (optimized) trajectories that humans implement to reach targets.
- Todorov and Liu (grasping)
- Biral et al. (driving)
- Optimal trajectories means that the final target is reached according to some optimality criterion



# Which criterion?

- Minimum jerk has been shown to hold for writing-like task (Viviani).
- Minimum jerk holds in many driving trajectories
- Minimum jerk means
  - Maximum smoothness
  - A principle of “laziness” or minimum effort
  - Minimization of brain-muscle throughput (related to Fitts’s law).
  - e.g., “lazy” drivers smooth curves and trace minimum jerk trajectories



# Which criterion?

- Minimum energy in energy demanding task also holds.
- In general multi-objective criteria can be used
  - eg. combination of minimum jerk and minimum time travel explains different types of driver behavior



13


# Receding horizon

- Planning of Optimized trajectories is continuously update even during the execution of the trajectory itself.
  - If a deviation from plan occurs a new trajectory from the perturbed position is developed *without* returning to the previous plan.
  - Task irrelevant deviations are left uncorrected (minimum intervention principle) - Todorov et al.



# Human tracking skills

- Largely studied in the earliest driver models. They describe the bottom control layer.



- Humans have anticipatory and adaptive capabilities.
  - Typical transfer function:

$$H(s) = \frac{K e^{-T_d s}}{T_v s + 1} \cdot \frac{T_f s + 1}{T_f s + 1}$$

# The crossover model

- Humans adapt to plants in a fashion that the combined transfer function is nearly constant in the vicinity of the crossover frequency:

$$H(s)G(s) = \frac{\omega}{s} \cdot e^{-T_d s}$$





# Conclusions

## ○ Hierarchical model

- Many concurrent processes at different rates.
- Subsupmtive architecture.
- Perception-Action loops were successfully used
- Optimized trajectories
- Multiple criteria and tradeoff explains a large variety of behaviors
- Receding horizon (re-planning) explains the minimum intervention principle
- Tracking skills are adaptive.
- Typical human transfer function
- Crossover model.

